

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

		M	
T	OF	COMM	ERC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/430,177	10/29/1999	UPVAN NARANG	100448.01	6878	
27049	7590 07/22/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	1615	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/430,177	NARANG ET AL.				
Auvisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JYOTHSNA A VENKAT	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		t de l'est en entre et				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	royed or h) disapproyed by t	ha Evaminer				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.		JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the declaration dated 2/13/04 does not show unexpected and superior results. Applicants argue that the declaration showed unexpected and superior results. It is the examiners position that the declaration is unpersuasive for the following resons: 1. The declaration showed identical concentration for acetone and ethanol, where as for methanol it is not the same. The agent tested was 2-octyl cyanoacrylate which belongs to "polymerization initiators". None of the claims are limited to this species, therfore the 103 rejection is deemed proper. Applicants arguments with respect to the restriction requirement is not persuasive. The examiner has shown distinctness between all the three groups and it is a serach burden to examine all the three groups. Based upon applicants response at page 2, the status of the claims are as follows: Claims 4, 13-14, 26-49, 76-110 and 122-144 are withdrawn from consideration, and claims 1-3, 5-12, 15-25, 50-51 and 111-121 are rejected.